Minutes – Board of Directors
2nd Meeting of 2020-2021
Wednesday, June 24th, 2020 | 2:00 PM
Video Conference held via Zoom

ATTENDANCE

Present
Executive Committee
Muntaka Ahmed, President
Dermot O’Halloran, VP Operations
Tyler Riches, VP Public & University Affairs
Alexandra McLean, VP Equity
Neeharika Hemrajani, VP Student Life
Paul Kaita, VP Professional Faculties

Division I Directors (Colleges, Academic Divisions, and Transitional Year Programmes)
Kayleigh McCormick, New College
Karel Peters, New College
Ramtin Taramsari, New College
Matthew Ho, St. Michael's College
Jonathan Hsu, St. Michael's College
Fiona Reuter, Trinity College
Pranay Prem, University College
Basma Ramadan, University College
Jeannie Yoo, University College
Radhika Dhawan, Victoria College
Ava Harrington, Victoria College
Sierra Bevacqua, Woodsworth College
Miloni Mehta, Woodsworth College
Sheryl Ordonez, Woodsworth College
Katherine Jung, Life Sciences
Alex Erickson, Social Sciences

Division II Directors (Professional Faculties)
José Power, Architecture & Visual Studies
Tiffany Tiu, Kinesiology & Physical Education
Catherine Wang, Music
Vanshika Dhawan, Law
Shaon Parial, Pharmacy
Katelyn MacDonald, Nursing
Rose Mohammad, Professional Faculties at-large
Andrew Chen, Applied Science & Engineering

Visitors and Other Non-Voting
Suzanne Belleau, General Manager, UTSU
Margaret de Leon, Executive Assistant
Governance & Administration, UTSU
Devon Wilton, Administrative Staff, UTSU

Absent

Regrets
Zoe Chen, St. Michael’s College
Ava Harrington, Victoria College
Shaon Parial, Pharmacy
AGENDA
Open Session

1. Call to Order
This meeting is called to order at 2:29 PM.

2. Approval of Agenda
   RESOLUTION
   MOVED: AHMED           SECONDED: PREM
   BE IT RESOLVED THAT the agenda be approved as presented.

   DISCUSSION
   CARRIED

3. Ratification of Speaker
   RESOLUTION
   MOVED: AHMED           SECONDED: O’HALLORAN
   BE IT RESOLVED THAT Billy Graydon be appointed as Speaker for the duration of the
   meeting.

   DISCUSSION
   Ahmed stated that Billy Graydon has had considerable experience as Speaker for UTSU meetings,
   and has accepted the request to serve as Speaker for this meeting until a permanent speaker is
   hired for the year.

   CARRIED

4. Consent Agenda
   RESOLUTION
   MOVED: AHMED           SECONDED: HEMRAJANI
   BE IT RESOLVED THAT the following be approved as presented in the appendices:

   1. Meetings of the Board of Directors
      13th Meeting of the 2019-2020 Board of Directors (Outgoing), 28 April 2020
      1st Meeting of the 2020-2021 Board of Directors (Incoming), 28 April 2020

   2. Meetings of Committees
      Executive Committee, 15 May 2020 (1st Meeting)
      Executive Committee, 8 June 2020 (2nd Meeting)
      Finance Committee, 17 June 2020 (1st Meeting
      Finance Committee, 20 June 2020 (2nd Meeting)
3. Executive Reports
   June 2020 Report of the President
   June 2020 Report of the Vice-President, Operations
   June 2020 Report of the Vice-President, Public & University Affairs
   June 2020 Report of the Vice-President, Equity
   June 2020 Report of the Vice-President, Student Life
   June 2020 Report of the Vice-President, Professional Faculties

4. Records of Decision
   Record of Decision for April 28 to June 20

DISCUSSION

Ahmed externalized Executive Committee Meeting Minutes from May 15th, 2020.

Erickson externalized the June 2020 Executive Report of the Vice President, Operations.

Riches clarified a few points from his Executive Report. The University is proposing a new Centre for Civilizations, Cultures, and Cities to be built at 178090 Queen’s Park, in front of the Faculty of Music building. It will replace the planetarium and incorporate Falconer Hall. This new infrastructure will house several new facilities for different faculties at the University. The first consultation took place in June, 2019, and there have been working group conversations ongoing. Riches encouraged members who are interested in this consultation to reach out to him. The consultation is scheduled for July 14th from 6PM-8PM, and is open to the public. Riches further stated that there will be a City Council meeting taking place on June 29th, where the UTSU will be sending a report to motion to reduce the Toronto Police Department’s funding by 10 per cent.

Hemrajani stated that the section of her Executive Report of the Vice President, Student Life outlining the Orientation of Student Programming framework was not accessible for some people. She further stated that the problem has been fixed, and to reach out with any more questions.

Dhawan stated that the Records of Decision are a reiteration of what has already been stated in the Board and Committee Meeting minutes. She asked why it was included in the Consent Agenda because it creates procedural issues.

Ahmed answered that this was not placed on the Consent Agenda intentionally. She stated that having a motion to approve the Records of Decision, as well as the minutes would pose a contradiction to one another. She asked the Speaker whether she can remove the Records of Decision from the Consent Agenda.
4.1 Motion to Amend

RESOLUTION
MOVED: O’HALLORAN
SECONDED: AHMED

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Item 4 titled “Records of Decision - Records of Decision for April 28 to June 20” be struck from the Consent Agenda.

DISCUSSION
CARRIED

DISCUSSION
Reuter referred to the Executive Report of the Vice President, Public and University Affairs, and whether there would be some reform to the Microtransactions Program because there was opposition from students in the previous year regarding this program.

Riches stated that the Microtransactions Access Program did have some issues regarding accessibility to students. He stated that the primary advocacy focus regarding this program this year is to change university policy on microtransactions. The Microtransactions Program was born out of a report conducted by the Vice President, University Affairs in 2018/2019, and it recommended that changes be made at the university-level regarding microtransactions. UTSU’s advocacy efforts would focus on external changes, and then bringing in a service or program that tries to fill in the gap. So far, a service has been created with the Microtransactions Program, and further steps would include pushing for the university to change its policies around microtransactions so that it will eventually render the program unnecessary because students would have support.

Prem asked Riches to elaborate on his discussion with the Office of the Vice Provost, Students about international student concerns. He asked how those concerns were received and whether any action items were determined from those meetings. His second question was for Hemrajani, and he asked her to elaborate on how clubs are chosen for Clubs Friday.

Riches stated that the meeting with the Office of the Vice Provost, Students included broad discussions of university advocacy and international students. They identified a number of problems, and they were received well by the OVPS, and they have stated that they would be looking into it. Regarding International Advocacy, there are issues outside of the scope of the university, including study permits and eligibility for the Canada Emergency Response Benefit and the Canada Emergency Student Benefit. The UTSU is a member of UCrew, along with nine other research-intensive schools in Canada, and Riches stated that he will be bringing up the issues that fall under federal jurisdiction regarding international students for lobbying consideration.

Hemrajani stated, during the OVPS meeting, she brought up issues regarding visas, financial aid, mental health and wellness, and the challenges that first-year international students face. As of March 18th, 2020, any visas that have been allocated to international students will not be exempt from the travel restriction that Canada has not yet lifted. So if they received their visas before
March 18th, 2020, they will be allowed back into Canada, but apart from that, they haven’t lifted or changed that as of yet. The criticisms and feedback were very well received by the Office of the Vice Provost, Students. The Universal Health Insurance Program (UHIP) that all international students are eligible for when they come to UofT, they are looking to review that. Hemrajani stated that she will be looking into whether they can opt-out if they would not be staying in Canada for the fall semester. She further stated that she has been having conversations with international students who are taking classes in the summer semester, and found that final exam times are non-negotiable with the asynchronous learning style, and makes it difficult for some students in different time zones to adequately perform if it would be late at night for them. Hemrajani stated that the Faculty of Arts and Sciences has committed to asynchronous learning for the Fall 2020 semester, and that the UTSU commits to looking into further ways that they can support international students with online learning. Secondly, she answered his question about Clubs Friday. She stated that she has been working with the VP Professional Faculties to create a form in the Clubs Bulletin via email, where registered clubs can sign up to be featured on the UTSU Instagram page on Fridays.

Ramadan asked the Vice President, Professional Faculties why he was not able to complete all of his hours for the last three weeks.

Kaita stated that the minimum number of hours mandated for the Vice President, Professional Faculties is 10 hours per week, and that he had managed to complete those hours for the last six weeks.

Reuter asked the Vice President, Equity what actions she took to ensure student-led change regarding anti-black racism. She referred to the initiatives within Trinity College’s Anti-Racism Collective and the Open Letter to Provost Mayo Moran. She asked what ideas McLean has as a Vice President, Equity and a member of Trinity College.

McLean stated that in her role as Vice President, Equity, she will support actions within Trinity against anti-black racism, but she also recognizes that she does work for the UTSU and not Trinity college and is mindful not to overstep her role.

Ahmed stated that there are many things that are occurring within Trinity College that are beyond their jurisdiction. The UTSU’s role is to work with the various colleges at UofT to tackle issues that affect their shared memberships, and where the UTSU can play a supporting role. They are mindful of the space they can take up in these conversations, and since so much of the movement has been student-led, the UTSU is committed to supporting those students. The UTSU stands wholeheartedly with students fighting anti-black racism and will work to dismantle the institutional barriers that students are facing on a daily basis in Trinity College.

McLean stated that the UTSU supports students at Trinity College, and has signed a letter to the Administration. The UTSU can support students at the college-level, and maintains communications with the student heads but there is a line that they cannot cross.
Jung asked the VP, Equity about the research she has included in her Executive Report regarding Anti-Racism Campus Initiatives and Campus Police. She asked her to elaborate on what she has found so far and what steps she will take.

McLean stated that the research was done for meetings with University stakeholders. The Campus Police offers services include police patrol, and the specific definition is to provide police presence on campus, in addition to broader aspects of police services. This presence on campus makes BIPOC students feel uncomfortable. From her conversations with the Black Students Union, the UTSU has made a statement of solidarity. This statement includes conducting more research on campus police services, including the services that they provide.

Ahmed stated that it is important to understand the context of the Campus Police in order to understand why the UTSU decided to call out Campus Police specifically. Since 2016, Campus Police at U of T has a record of very negative experiences with students. The Campus Police has only added to the climate of fear and disenfranchisement among students, and trans students in particular. Excessive use of force is not uncommon with campus police, and she encouraged members to read students’ stories and their interactions with campus police. The Executive Team felt that it was necessary to ask why campus police’s presence has not been limited thus far, and why they have not addressed their own behaviour on campus that marginalizes students that are already marginalized. There have been campus gatherings by minority students where they do not feel safe. The UTSU has a responsibility to hold the Administration accountable, and Ahmed stated that she is proud that the UTSU has stood on this side of history.

Ho asked if the research on campus police is available to review.

Ahmed stated that it is not currently available, but she is happy to work with the Vice President, Equity to create this report. The resources regarding campus police presence historically are available online, and she recommended looking through the Varsity Archives.

McLean stated that the campus police actively promotes an unsafe environment on campus. She further stated that the UTSU values consultation, and that they will ensure that every point that comes forward gets brought to University Administrators.

Erickson concurred with the suggestion to compile all of the resources to make it more accessible. He returned to a point that Reuter made previously, and that it is unfair to say that the UTSU does not have a place to interfere within Trinity College and advocate for equity. He stated that there exists Trinity College representation within the UTSU, and problems related to the Equity portfolio have surfaced within the VP Equity’s constituency. Is the Executives’ approach is to consistently work with the Trinity College Meeting? He stated that they should be aware of the unique opportunity to access the resources that the VP Equity Portfolio has to offer in supporting these campus initiatives. McLean’s membership in Trinity College in fact enhances her ability to take an active role and progressive action on that subject.

McLean concurred with the points brought up by Erickson, however she stated that she is not an equity gatekeeper. All she can do is support grassroots efforts by students to address anti-black
racism. She stated that it is important to recognize her own role, and that she is not an expert on equity.

Ahmed stated that the UTSU does share a membership with Trinity College, and that they are waiting on the direction of student leadership to dictate where they could be taking action. It is Trinity students who have a direct understanding of this problem, and the UTSU will be conferring with them in order to make suggestions to the Office of the Vice Provost, Students. The UTSU’s efforts against anti-black racism are not solely to campus police, but includes institutional violence that occurs on campus. She stated that what is happening at Trinity College is abhorrent, and the UTSU will be doing its best to amplify the voices of BIPOC students at Trinity College. They are reaching out to individual students and student leaders, and focusing on their voices and amplifying their calls to action. She concluded that their biggest action item moving forward is to take this discussion to their meetings with the Administration, and discuss as a whole what the federated college system contributes to anti-black racism on campus. Trinity is just one part of the problem, and there are many avenues to explore that the UTSU cannot stand to do by itself. It needs the help of student leaders from colleges and board member representatives, who are the gateways into the divisions and constituencies they represent.

Erickson asked if outreach with the Trinity College Meeting has occurred.

Ahmed stated that outreach with the Trinity College meeting has not occurred, and that they are primarily focusing on liaising with individual students.

Prem asked a question regarding the minutes of the Executive Committee, and why they have not appointed any board members to be student representatives at the University of Toronto Community Radio (CIUT).

O’Halloran stated that the refusal to appoint board members to the CIUT specifically is because the UTSU has had a long-held position that the CIUT has wrongfully dismissed a radio host for expressing her opinion regarding the shooting of an Indigenous person. The long-term position of the UTSU is that they would rather not participate in the operations of the CIUT until they publicly rectify this decision that they made.

Riches stated that in 2018, the UTSU held three seats in the CIUT, and they tried to push changes in light of what O’Halloran referred to. These changes were not well received, and the UTSU appointees decided that there was not room for any changes internally, so they resigned in protest, and each year, the Executive Committee refuses the appointment. He concluded stating that they consulted the research, and decided to decline to appoint any members to their board for this year.

O’Halloran stated that though the UTSU is refusing to place student members on the board, that does not mean that students will not have any position on the board. In the bylaws of CIUT, they prescribed that if the UTSU did not respond, they would seek out student representatives to sit on their Board of Directors. This has been happening for a few years, and students do eventually get appointed to the Board.
4.2 Externalized Resolution from the minutes of Executive Committee, 15 May 2020 (1st Meeting)

RESOLUTION
MOVED: Ahmed

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Board of Directors approve as presented Item #3, titled "Authorization of Remaining 2019-2020 Term Student Aid Disbursements", from the Consent Agenda item titled "Executive Committee, 15 May 2020 (1st Meeting)"

DISCUSSION
Ahmed stated that there was a discussion on Student Aid disbursements at the Executive Committee meeting, and she wanted to open it up to the Board so that everybody understands the resolution that occurred.

O’Halloran stated that within the financial books of the previous term, including the unfinished disbursements, the Executive Committee authorized a potential spending total of $22,531 in Student Aid Funding. The amount actually disbursed amounted to $16,531. The difference between the asked and allotted totals was because there were a number of applicants that asked for upwards of $1000, but the Emergency Bursary maximum allocation was $250. Thus, they capped the highest possible amount to be disbursed at $500, depending on the severity of the application.

Ahmed stated that there are restrictions imposed on the amount of money that Executives are allowed to authorize, and they decided to follow through with this authorization upon the classes dictated by Bylaw 9 that stated that time-sensitive spending has a cap of $4000 per session of each executive committee. The executives are allowed to make 10 percent increases to any allowance that increases, other than services. Overspending for services is unlimited because they are going back to students, and Student Aid falls under this category. Pertaining to the Bylaw, they believed that it would be unfair for students to wait for the emergency funding in order for the Student Aid Committee to meet and vote on their disbursements. This is not a precedent, but due to the extreme circumstances relating to COVID-19, this is what needs to be done. The UTSU believed that it was important to directly support students during a time where very little support was available. The purposes of re-opening this discussion is so that the Board is completely aware and has the final say.

CARRIED

DISCUSSION

Vice-President Operations Report

Erickson referred to the VP Operations’ decision to contract out web design to external companies, and suggests that this could be done in house by employing students who are in
related fields, and can benefit from this experience. Furthermore, he asked that with the move into the Student Commons, there needs to be many more staff, but the total salary expenses would be over $1 million. He asked why this decision was made, rather than hiring students to run most of the management, because he stated that this is how the University of British Columbia’s Student Union operates.

O’Halloran stated that as much as he loves when the UTSU can hire students for the union, and that it is completely student-driven, there is the limitation that students are very busy and held up all of the time. The UTSU tried to do website work last year in the fall, and it was difficult to maintain. This year, the UTSU would like to do a professional overhaul of the back-end. Most people will not have seen the WordPress back-end of the website, and he stated that it is difficult to navigate. There is a good amount of work that needs to be done, and he believes that a firm that is dedicated to doing full-time is best. The website needs to be easily accessible to staff so that updates can be made in the future and that this can only be done with major changes to the back-end of the website. Though he empathized with the desire to hire students, there have been problems with students being unable to commit to the time needed to make wide scale changes to the website. They have taken time to hire a local firm that is charging a price that is suitable for the size of the corporation and will be able to finish the project by September. He added that the UTSU has one of the smallest staff complements in the country. He noted that the Student Society building at McGill University, which is smaller than the Student Commons, has over 100 staff members with 50 in senior positions. There are a lot of issues around time commitment when hiring students. He stated that despite these issues the UTSU is dedicated to hiring students when possible such as the community resources specialists. The main issue is with senior positions which involve liability and require managerial experience. He added that the expansion of staff is well-precedented by other student unions.

Ahmed stated that the UTSU has a small staff complement to the board of directors. She stated that the Student Commons will require management, occupational health, event and space management. She added that there are positions that will require managerial background and legal guidelines that must be followed. She stated that the facilitation of the building cannot be completed solely by students. She called on Belleau for further details.

Belleau stated that the Student Commons is outside the current scope of the UTSU as there is a requirement to generate an excess of one million dollars over the next three to five years. She stated that the Student Commons will operate on a separate staff function and possibly separately incorporated. The building is running 18 months behind schedule and the funds currently allocated were planned to be spent last year. She added that questions on student staff are better suited once the funds have been spent and the building is in operation.

Reuter stated that Erickson was asking if there could be more jobs within the Student Commons. She stated that other universities such as the University of British Columbia employ students in management positions. Reuter asked O’Halloran about the external governance review.

O’Halloran stated that the majority of front-facing staff will be students. He added that the increase in staff for salary projections refers to senior level positions. He stated that, in
collaboration with Ahmed, he has outlined positions that are able to be filled by students and ones that will require professional experience. He stated that he will answer Reuter’s question on the external governance review after the current discussion.

Ahmed stated that the UTSU is dedicated to looking after student jobs and that there is value in hiring students in managerial roles. The management positions currently outlined for the Student Commons are not available to students. Once the building is further into development they will consider placements for student employment. She stated that a student-staff complement has yet to be decided and requires consideration of the structure of the building and the needs of students.

Prem asked how the company was chosen to do web development.

O’Halloran addressed Reuter’s question surrounding the external governance review. During the Student Development Summit last year he was able to meet Peter Guo who offered assistance related to governance review. The Almamater Society of University of British Columbia contacted Guo’s firm for a governance review and were able to improve their structure and financial management. He stated that they considered hiring Guo’s firm last year and the possibility is being explored this year. Currently there is an upcoming meeting regarding an external review of the UTSU. O’Halloran addressed Prem’s question stating that throughout May he reached out to multiple development firms. He added that Eggs Media was responsive and had an impressive proposal.

Dhawan asked why the Board package was released late and what will be done in the future to prevent delays.

O’Halloran apologized for the delays to the board package and stated that the delay was in part due to a lack of staff. Due to the lack of staff, the executive committee had to take minutes and create the Board package. He added that creating the Board package requires a lot of work and the delay is not due to a lack of effort. O’Halloran said that most of the minuting and preparing of the Board Package was done by himself.

Wilton stated that he helped prepare board packages last year with the aid of 6-7 student staff. He is creating methods to streamline the process and prevent future delays to the package.

Erickson stated that the budget policy stipulates that the Finance Committee must receive a preliminary budget by May 15th, but it did not get received by June 15th. He added that the budget being passed today has not gone through a multi-month process.

O’Halloran responded that notice of a meeting is required 48 hours beforehand. Before the first meeting of the Finance Committee he told the committee members that he needed to have a meeting with staff before the committee could meet. There had been difficulty transitioning due to COVID-19 which resulted in various delays. He added that during the Finance Committee meeting he asked members about any concerns and would have preferred if Erickson’s comments had been voiced at the meeting. He questioned the motivation for the question.
Reuter stated that she agrees with Erickson’s comment on the budget. The board requires sufficient time to review the budget and that the comment is being raised at the board to ensure directors have input on the operations of the UTSU.

Erickson stated that the Orientation and Preliminary Budgets are stipulated in the policy. The comment was raised as the Board of Directors meetings are about discussing executives and issues pertaining to the board.

The Board approved the Executive Report of the Vice President, Operations.

**Vice-President Equity Report**

Reuter stated that she supports the work that the UTSU is doing and suggests that the executive start having meetings with different student societies to discuss ways in which they can support them. Though these societies have separate governance structures, the UTSU is tasked with supporting all students and that they have an important role in this issue.

The Board approved the Executive Report of the Vice President, Equity.

5. **Approval of Preliminary Budget**

**RESOLUTION**  
MOVED: O’HALLORAN  
SECONDED: AHMED

WHEREAS the Budget Policy of the UTSU governs the budgeting of the UTSU, stating in Stage 2 that a Preliminary Budget is to be presented at the June Meeting of the Board of Directors;

BE IT RESOLVED that the UTSU Preliminary Budget be approved as presented in Appendix A.

**Discussion**

O’Halloran requests members to access the preliminary budget in the board package. The first page is the revenues. For the 2020/2021 year, the draft budget is in blue, the draft actuals is in green, and the 19/20 is in pink. The draft numbers on the budget are meant to be conservative revenue estimates. The first line includes student levies and he noted that the Finance committee has decided not to reduce the UTSU membership fee as a response to COVID-19. He added that despite an expected decrease in revenue, expenses are expected to rise due to movement into the Student Commons building. The other funding that comes from student levies is derived from programs including scholarships and bursaries, accessibility resources fund, food security funding and food bank, dollars for daycare, orientation, student refugee program, and plan international. He stated that there is revenue from grants and that the UTSU is not anticipating any additional grants as they have avoided laying off staff. There is an expected decrease in partnerships because events won’t have physical products and he stated that there is no anticipated revenue from sales. O’Halloran stated that there is no recognized reserve funding, however last year there was $200,000 recognized in reserve funding for the donation to the
muslim chaplaincy and withdrawals for the Wheelchair Accessibility Fund (WAF) withdrawals for accessibility needs at the board. The line labeled “transfers to and from the Health and Dental plan” is a recognition that UTSU charges an administrative fee to students which is capped at $400,000. The line labeled “transfer to and from the student commons” is taken from a reserve of unpaid staff wages from 2015. He stated that the expenses for student staff assumes executives work a cumulative total of 9,760 hours and executive assistants work a cumulative total of 6,440 hours. The line includes Orientation staff, CRO and DRO who are assumed to work a cumulative total of 9,200 hours. He added that the increase in the expenses of student staff are attributed to increases in pay for student staff. He stated that the ergonomic allowance item is to make accommodations for staff who are working from home. Expenses related to staff recruitment are increasing because an external firm is supporting the UTSU in hiring senior positions and there are increases related to professional development because of an increased focus on development at the staff and board levels. He added that moving costs refer to expenses associated with movement into the Student Commons.

Dhawan asked whether it is necessary to go line by line.

O’Halloran stated that occupancy relates to expenses from the current UTSU building and the Student Commons. Operations expenses relate to the costs of the current office operations including office supplies, governance review, accounting, audits, legal and elections. He stated that programs and services include all items relating to outreach and engagement including the web development budget, the events budget, student group funding, ENGSOCC agreement, student aid program and SRP. O’Halloran noted that they are budgeting conservatively and have a projected surplus. He stated that he explained the document line by line because the board may not have had enough time to review the budget.

Ahmed stated that details for the Preliminary Budget are available in the Finance Committee meeting minutes.

Jung asked why student funding has been significantly lowered.

O’Halloran stated that the draft actual for student funding is increased because the donation to the Muslim Chaplaincy were itemized under student group funding. The student funding last year was initially $100,00 after the reversal of the Student Choice Initiative, meaning the funding for student groups has increased.

CARRIED

6. Ratification of Committee Election Results

RESOLUTION

MOVED: O’HALLORAN SECONDED: AHMED

WHEREAS members of the Board of Directors were able to nominate members for election to various committees of the Board via an online form, titled Appendix B; and
WHEREAS members of the Board of Directors were able to vote in the election of nominated members to said committees of the Board, titled Appendix C;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT that the results of the committee elections be ratified as presented in Appendix D.

DISCUSSION

6.1 Motion to Amend

RESOLUTION
MOVED: O’HALLORAN SECONDED: AHMED

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the motion be amended to read as follows:

“WHEREAS members of the Board of Directors were able to nominate members for election to various committees of the Board via an online form, titled Appendix B; and

“WHEREAS members of the Board of Directors were able to vote in the election of nominated members to said committees of the Board, titled Appendix C;

“BE IT RESOLVED that the results of the committee elections be ratified as presented in Appendix D; and

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Tiffany Tiu, Fiona Reuter, Pranay Prem, Alex Erickson, and Jose Power be appointed to the Campaigns & Outreach Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Basmah Ramadan, Andrew Chen, Pranay Prem, and ________ be appointed to the Clubs Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Shoan Parial, ________, ________, be appointed to the Clubs Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Karel Peters, Kayleigh McCormick, Basmah Ramadan, and Radhika Dhawan be appointed to the Equity & Accessibility Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Katherine Jung, Matthew Ho, Ava Harrington, Pranay Prem, Basmah Ramadan, and Fiona Reuter be appointed to the Executive Review Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Vanshika Dhawan, Ava Harrington, Alex Erickson, Karel Peters, and Pranay Prem be appointed to the Governance Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Andrew Chen, Basmah Ramadan, Katelyn MacDonald, and Fiona Reuter be appointed to the Services Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Tiffany Tiu, Miloni Mehta, Shoan Parial, and Andrew Chen be appointed to the Student Aid Committee.”

DISCUSSION

O’Halloran stated that there are still vacancies in the online form that can be filled by committee elections at the board.
6.1.1 Motion to Amend

RESOLUTION
MOVED: AHMED SECONDED: O’HALLORAN

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the motion be amended to read as follows:

“WHEREAS members of the Board of Directors were able to nominate members for election to various committees of the Board via an online form, titled Appendix B; and

“WHEREAS members of the Board of Directors were able to vote in the election of nominated members to said committees of the Board, titled Appendix C;

“BE IT RESOLVED that the results of the committee elections be ratified as presented in Appendix D; and

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Tiffany Tiu, Fiona Reuter, Pranay Prem, Alex Erickson, and Jose Power be appointed to the Campaigns & Outreach Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Basmah Ramadan, Andrew Chen, and Pranay Prem, be appointed to the Clubs Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Shoan Parial be appointed to the Elections & Referenda Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Karel Peters, Kayleigh McCormick, and Basmah Ramadan be appointed to the Equity & Accessibility Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Katherine Jung, Matthew Ho, Ava Harrington, Pranay Prem, Basmah Ramadan, and Fiona Reuter be appointed to the Executive Review Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Vanshika Dhawan, Ava Harrington, and Andrew Chen be appointed to the Governance Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Andrew Chen, Basmah Ramadan, Katelyn MacDonald, and Fiona Reuter be appointed to the Services Committee;

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Tiffany Tiu, Miloni Mehta, Shoan Parial, and Andrew Chen be appointed to the Student Aid Committee; and

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a new online election be held to fill the remaining vacancies.”

DISCUSSION
CARRIED

CARRIED

Ramadan asked whether committees can meet if they still have vacancies.
O’Halloran stated that he will send out a new nomination form once the motion has been ratified. The elections can be wrapped up by Monday, and the committees can meet.

CARRIED

7. **Schedule of Meetings for Summer Session**

   **RESOLUTION**
   
   MOVED: AHMED               SECONDED: RICHES

   **WHEREAS** the University of Toronto Students' Union’s (UTSU) Board of Directors meets on a monthly basis; and

   **WHEREAS** the UTSU’s Executive Committee is, under Bylaw III(2)(a)(ii), “responsible for presenting a schedule of meetings for approval at the beginning of each session”;

   **BE IT RESOLVED** that the Board hold its July Meeting on __ July 2020 at __:__; and

   **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board hold its August Meeting on __ August 2020 at __:__; and

   **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board schedule its September Meeting at the August Meeting of the Board of Directors; and

   **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board receive a new schedule of meetings for the Fall Term at the September Meeting of the Board of Directors.

   **DISCUSSION**

   Ahmed proposed the remaining meetings of the Board of Directors to be scheduled for July 27th at 12PM and August 28th at 12PM. These are tentative dates, and can be amended closer to the date should anything come up.

   Mehta asked whether the Board Meeting can occur at 2PM instead of 12PM so that members can have time to eat lunch.

   Ahmed stated that this can be changed closer to the date, and amended the motion to include “tentatively” so that the meeting time is flexible.

7.1 **Motion to Amend**

   **RESOLUTION**
   
   MOVED: MEHTA               SECONDED: O’HALLORAN

   **BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the motion be amended to read as follows:

   “**WHEREAS** the University of Toronto Students' Union’s (UTSU) Board of Directors meets on a monthly basis; and
WHEREAS the UTSU’s Executive Committee is, under Bylaw III(2)(a)(ii), “responsible for presenting a schedule of meetings for approval at the beginning of each session”;

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hold its July Meeting on 27th July 2020 at 2:00 PM; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board hold its August Meeting on 28th August 2020 at 200 PM; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board schedule its September Meeting at the August Meeting of the Board of Directors; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board receive a new schedule of meetings for the Fall Term at the September Meeting of the Board of Directors.”

FAILED

7.2 Motion to Amend

RESOLUTION
MOVED: AHMED  SECONDED: PREM

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the motion be amended to read as follows:

“WHEREAS the University of Toronto Students’ Union’s (UTSU) Board of Directors meets on a monthly basis; and

WHEREAS the UTSU’s Executive Committee is, under Bylaw III(2)(a)(ii), “responsible for presenting a schedule of meetings for approval at the beginning of each session”; 

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board tentatively hold its July Meeting on 27th July 2020 at 2:00 PM; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board tentatively hold its August Meeting on 28th August 2020 at 2:00 PM; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board schedule its September Meeting at the August Meeting of the Board of Directors; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board receive a new schedule of meetings for the Fall Term at the September Meeting of the Board of Directors.”

CARRIED

CARRIED

9. Other Business

DISCUSSION ITEM
MOVED: O’HALLORAN  SECONDED: RICHES

DISCUSSION
O’Halloran apologized to Erickson for his comments during the discussion of the Vice-President Operations report, and acknowledged that the board plays an important role in keeping
executives accountable. He stated that for future board packages he will ensure the procedural work is done on time. He added that he values the board’s criticism and feedback.

Erickson stated that he appreciates O’Halloran’s statements, but that his comments were inappropriate. He stated that many questions came from directors with prior experience with the UTSU because there is a steep learning curve with onboarding.

10. **Adjournment**

RESOLUTION

MOVED: AHMED SECONDED: O’HALLORAN

BE IT RESOLVED that the meeting be adjourned.

CARRED

The meeting adjourns at 5:10 PM.
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- **Consent Agenda**
  
  Link:  
  https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1ggF3DuTn_kquAzOJxUZ6zyHJShi8M44X?usp=sharing

A **Proposed Preliminary Budget**

Link:  
https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1_8QL8YqdeGJmFvC7cdhGMSKDFVb9PAAA?usp=sharing

B **Committee Nominations Form**

Link:  
https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSdvX1DuawnlRSwSVrDxVl-FENjW-NHOVsK_kVpblua szW_lw/viewform?usp=sf_link

C **Committee Elections Form**

Link:  
https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSdYEVUTIKq8Xx_sLnPd5VuB7ZJ_pljWaRfZKlf4sfMy4q 7M4Q/viewform?usp=sf_link

D **Committee Election Results, to be Amended at the Board**

Link:  
https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1Jhlh042fpGoIRQyHJKVE6C50d1f6obU?usp=sharing